

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,113 | 12/29/2003 | Pao-Ter Huang | 18360-272883 | 1753 |
| 826 | 7590 01/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| ALSTON & BIRD LLP | | | JOERGER, KAITLIN S | |
| BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000 | | 3653 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Asticus Occurrence | 10/748,113 | HUANG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kaitlin S. Joerger | 3653 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4//05 3/04 12/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/748,113

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-51 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,007. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter of the application dominates and covers the claimed subject matter of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Durrett et al.

Van Durrett teaches a method for accepting a plurality of packages of random size and shape and selecting one of said packages on an accumulator for placement at a different location, said method comprising the steps of: a) receiving packages in a random manner; b) determining at least one common outside length dimension of said plurality of packages; c) accumulating the packages in side justified alignment on an accumulator in the order in which they were received; and d) selecting and removing individual packages from the accumulator in non-sequential order for placement at a designated location. The method of step b involves actually measuring the outside dimension of each package, see claims 1+. He further teaches that the step of b can be accomplished by referencing a dimensional reading assigned to the package, see column 3, lines 12+.

Van Durrett teaches a method for accepting a plurality of packages of random size and shape and selecting one of said packages on an accumulator for placement at a different location, said method comprising the steps of: : a) receiving packages in a random manner; b) determining at least one common outside length dimension of said plurality of packages; c) accumulating the packages in side justified alignment on an accumulator in the order in which they were received; d) identifying one of the packages on the accumulator and one package placement position at the stack location capable of receiving the identified package; e) evaluating the stability of the selected package at the placement position by use of preselected stability criteria, and; f) giving preference to placing the package at the package placement position based upon the stability criteria and only if a stability threshold is reached. The method of step b involves actually

Art Unit: 3653

measuring the outside dimension of each package, see claims 1+. He further teaches that the step of b can be accomplished by referencing a dimensional reading assigned to the package, see column 3, lines 12+.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-27 stand rejected under double patenting only and would be allowable if the double patenting rejection was overcome. The reference does not teach comparing a support surface for a package with a defined gravity center in order to evaluate the stability of the unstacked package on the pallet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S. Joerger whose telephone number is 571-272-6938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 571-272-6944. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3653

DONALD WELSH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600